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## DIRECTIONS TO CANDIDATES

1. Answer Question 1 and any THREE others.
2. All questions carry equal marks.
3. Each question should begin on a fresh page and parts of the same question must be together.
4. Formulae are provided at the back of the question paper.
5. Use of silent scientific calculators is encouraged. Indicate by writing "By Calculator..."
6. Statistical tables are supplied in a separate booklet.

## QUESTION 1

Ten joints of some foodstuff are each cut in half; one half is frozen and wrapped by process A and the other half by a new process B. The halves are placed in ten freezers, halves of the same joint being put in the same freezer. The number of days to spoilage, which can be detected from a change in the colour of a pack, are found for each pack as indicated in the table below:

| Joint number | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Process A | 63 | 109 | 82 | 156 | 161 | 155 | 47 | 141 | 92 | 149 |
| Process B | 129 | 105 | 76 | 207 | 253 | 146 | 62 | 160 | 90 | 177 |

(a) Calculate the means for processes A and B.
(b) Assuming that the data above represent a random sample from a normal distribution, use a $5 \%$ significance level to test the hypothesis that the wrapping processes A and B are equally effective in preserving the freshness of the meat.
(c) State any three assumptions that you make in this experiment.
(d) Explain clearly a method of obtaining a random sample. Use examples.

## OUESTION 2

(a) The table below gives the marks obtained by a class in a physics examination.

| Marks | $49-51$ | $52-54$ | $55-57$ | $58-60$ | $61-63$ | $64-66$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency | 4 | 6 | 12 | 10 | 6 | 2 |

(i) Draw a cumulative frequency curve from the data.
(ii) From the curve, indicate the median mark.
(iii) Estimate also the number of students passing if the pass mark was set at 55.
(iv) Indicate on the graph and state the upper and lower quartiles.
(v) Describe the semi-interquartile range and give its value in this distribution.
(b) Compare absolute and relative frequency.

## QUESTION 3

For the set of data below,

| X | 7 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 12 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 2.2 |

a) Plot the scatter diagram.
b) Find and insert the best fitting line.
c) Predict Y for $\mathrm{X}=12,14$, and 18 using the line.
d) Compute both Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient and Spearman's rank correlation coefficient.
e) Comment fully on the meaning of the coefficients obtained.

## QUESTION 4

The amounts, in millions of dollars, of expenditure on services in a city for the years 1991 and 1992 are shown in the table below.

|  | Expenditure (\$million) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ |
| Education | 150 | x |
| Emergency services | 121.5 | y |
| Roads | 83 | 98.5 |
| Social services | 72.5 | 92 |
| Other services | 59 | 79.5 |
| TOTAL | 486 | z |

Pie charts are drawn to compare the expenditure for these two years.
a)Find the angle representing the Education sector in 1991.
b)Given that there is a $20 \%$ increase in expenditure on education for the year 1992 and that the angles of the sectors representing Emergency Services are the same for the two years, calculate the values of $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z .
c) Given also that the radius of the pie chart for 1991 is 9 cm , calculate the radius of the pie chart for 1992.
d) Draw the two pie charts to scale on plain paper.

## OUESTION 5

(a) The best times, to the nearest second, that the 50 members of an athletics club have achieved in running 1500 m are grouped in the table below.

| Time (seconds) | $240-249$ | $250-259$ | $260-264$ | $265-274$ | $275-294$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of athletes | 8 | 14 | 9 | 11 | 8 |

(i) Draw an appropriate histogram to illustrate these data.
(ii) State the modal class centre.
(iii) Calculate the mode for the data.
(b) Explain the Pareto principle (or the $80 / 20$ rule), giving an example.
(c) Define and compare the arithmetic and the geometric means.
(d) Explain the advantages and disadvantages of using the mean, median and mode as measures of central tendency.

## QUESTION 6

(a) (i) In the graph below, state all the variables shown, and say whether they are dependent or independent, continuous or discrete, qualitative or quantitative.

ENROLMENT IN FURTHER EDUCATION IN THE UK

-Part time male -Full time male -Part time female a Full time female
(ii) Calculate the percentage change in the proportion of enrolled females in 1990/91 compared to $1980 / 81$.
(b) In a certain country the heights of adult males have a mean of 170 cm and a standard deviation of 10 cm , and the heights of adult females have a mean of 160 cm and a standard deviation of 8 cm ; for each gender group the distribution of heights approximates closely to a normal probability model. On the hypothesis that height is not a factor in selecting a marriage partner, calculate the probability that a husband and wife selected at random are both taller than 164 cm . [13]

## END OE EXAMIINATION

## LIST OF SELECTED FORMULAE

Sample mean $\bar{x}=\frac{\sum f x}{n} \quad$ Weighted mean $\quad \bar{x}_{w}=\frac{\sum w x}{\sum w}$
Geometric Mean $=\sqrt[n]{X_{1} x_{2} X_{3} \cdots \ldots \ldots \ldots X_{n}}$
Sample Standard deviation: For ungrouped data
s $\quad=\sqrt{\frac{\sum(x-\bar{x})^{2}}{n-1}}$
: For grouped data
s $\quad=\sqrt{\frac{\sum f(x-\bar{x})^{2}}{n-1}}$
Binomial distribution: $\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}) & ={ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{r}} \\ \text { Mean }(\mu) & =\mathrm{np} \\ & \text { Standard deviation }\end{array}=\sqrt{n p q}$
Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient (r)
$\mathbf{r}=\frac{N \sum X Y-\sum X \sum Y}{\sqrt{N \sum X^{2}-\left(\sum X\right)^{2}} \sqrt{N \sum Y^{2}-\left(\sum Y\right)^{2}}}$
Spearman's rank correlation coefficient ( $\rho$, rho or $\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{s}}$ )
$\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{s}=1} . \frac{6 \sum d^{2}}{} \quad$ Where $\mathrm{d}=$ differences in ranks of paired scores

## Sample Coefficient of determination ( $\mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{2}}$ )

$r^{2}=\frac{a \sum Y+b \sum X Y-n \bar{Y}^{2}}{\sum Y^{2}-n \bar{Y}^{2}} \quad \begin{aligned} \text { Where: } & =\mathrm{Y}-\text { intercept } \\ \mathrm{b} & =\text { gradient of regression line } \\ \mathrm{X} & =\text { value of independent variable } \\ \mathrm{Y} & =\text { value of dependent variable } \\ \bar{Y} & =\text { mean of Y values }\end{aligned}$
Chi-square ( $\chi^{2}$ ) test
$\chi^{2}=\sum \frac{\left(F_{o}-F_{e}\right)^{2}}{F_{e}} \quad \begin{array}{r}\text { Where } \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}=\text { observed frequency } \\ \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{e}}=\text { expected frequency }\end{array}$
For contingency tables in each cell, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{e}}=\frac{\text { RowTotal } \times \text { ColumnTotal }}{n}$
$\mathbf{z}$-score (for a normal distribution $\mathbf{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{z}=\frac{x-\mu}{s}$
Where $\mathrm{x}=$ score
$\mu=$ mean
$\mathrm{s}=$ standard deviation
$\mathrm{z}=$ number of standard deviation units from x to $\mu$

The t-test
(a) For two groups:
$\mathrm{t}_{\left(\mathbf{n}_{1}+\mathbf{n}_{2}-\mathbf{2}\right)}=\frac{\left|\overline{X_{1}}-\bar{X}_{2}\right|}{\sqrt{\frac{s_{1}}{n_{1}}+\frac{s_{2}}{n_{2}}}}$
Where $\overline{X_{1}}$ and $\overline{X_{2}} \quad=$ means for group 1 and 2
$s \quad=$ standard deviations
$n \quad=$ number in group
(b) For comparing a sample and a population:
$\mathbf{t}_{(\mathbf{n}-1)}=\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}}$
Where $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{t}_{(\mathrm{n}-1)} & =\mathrm{t} \text { value for } \mathrm{n}-1 \text { degrees of freedom } \\ & =\text { sample mean } \\ \mu & =\text { population (or expected) mean } \\ \mathrm{s} & =\text { sample standard deviation }\end{aligned}$
(c) For paired comparisons (e.g. pretest-posttest)

$$
\begin{array}{|cc}
\mathbf{t}_{(\mathrm{n}-1)}=\frac{\bar{D}-\mu_{0}}{s_{D}} & \text { where } \bar{D} \\
\frac{\bar{D}}{\sqrt{n}} & =\text { mean difference between groups } \\
\mu_{0} & =\text { expected mean difference }(\text { usually }=0) \\
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{D}} & =\text { standard deviation of differences } \\
\mathrm{n} & =\text { number of members/items in sample }
\end{array}
$$

## The Lorenz Curve

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Gini coefficient } & =\frac{\text { areabelowline of equal distribution - areabelowcurve }}{\text { areabelowcurve }} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{area} A}{\operatorname{area} B}
\end{aligned}
$$

Percentile Point $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{LL}_{\mathrm{i}}+\left[\left(\frac{n_{p}-C_{f}}{f_{i}}\right) \times I\right]
$$

where $P_{p}=$ the $\mathrm{p}^{\text {th }}$ percentile point $\left(\mathrm{eg} 65^{\text {th }}\right)$
$L_{i}=$ exact lower limit of percentile interval
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ number of cases in specified $\%$ of N
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ cumulative frequency up to percentile interval
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ frequency within the percentile
I = class interval
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| Question | Marking Points | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 (a) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Process A: mean }=\frac{\sum x_{1}}{n} & =\frac{1155}{10}=\underline{\mathbf{1 1 5 . 5}} \\ \text { Process B: mean } & =\frac{1405}{10}=\underline{\mathbf{1 4 0 . 5}} \tag{2} \end{array}$ | 4 |
| 1 (b) | Process A (s.d. $=57.5$ by calculator) and Process B (s.d. $=40.26$ ) $\begin{equation*} \text { t-test } \mathrm{t}_{8}=\frac{\overline{X_{1}}-\bar{X}_{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{s_{1}}{n_{1}}+\frac{s_{2}}{n_{2}}}}=\frac{115.5-140.5}{\sqrt{\frac{57.5}{10}+\frac{40.26}{10}}}=\frac{25}{\sqrt{9.776}}=\mathbf{8 . 0 0 0} \tag{4} \end{equation*}$ <br> Calculated $\mathrm{t}(8.000)>\mathrm{t}(1.73)$ at 0,05 sig. level and 18 d.f. in the tables Therefore there is a significant difference in the performance of the two processes. [2] | 10 |
| 1 (c) | Assumptions - Food halves are identical in composition/mass/etc <br> - food halves positioned the same in the freezer <br> - uniform conditions in the freezer, etc | 3 |
| 1 (d) | Random sampling methods (full explanation with examples) - e.g. raffle/lottery/coin toss/ random numbers etc | 8 |



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Question \& Marking Points \& Marks \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
3 (a) \& \\
(b)
\end{tabular} \& Corelation of \(X\) and \(Y\) \& 6

2 <br>

\hline 3 (c) \& $$
\text { When } \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}=12, \mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{3 . 6 5} \text { (approx) } \\
& \mathrm{X}=14, \mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{4 . 2 2} \\
& \mathrm{X}=18, \mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{5 . 3 1}
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 3 <br>

\hline 3 (d) \& |  |
| :--- |
| Pearson's (p-m) correlation $\begin{align*} \mathbf{r} & =\frac{N \sum X Y-\sum X \sum Y}{{\sqrt{N \sum X^{2}-\left(\sum X\right)}}^{2} \sqrt{N \sum Y^{2}-\left(\sum Y\right)^{2}}}  \tag{4}\\ & =\underline{\mathbf{0 . 9 5 3 4}} \text { (by calculator) } \tag{3} \end{align*}$ $\begin{aligned} \text { Spearman's rank correlation } \quad \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad & =1 \cdot \frac{6 \sum d^{2}}{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)}=1 \cdot \frac{6.7}{10.99} \\ & =\underline{\mathbf{0 . 9 5 7 6} \quad \text { (by calculator) }} \end{aligned}$ | \& 10 <br>

\hline 3 (e) \& Both coefficients are positive and high, showing that X and Y are closely correlated and one variable can be used reliably to predict the other. An increase in X is accompanied by an increase in Y. \& 4 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## Question

 Marking Points Marks| 4 (a) | $150 / 486 \times 360^{\circ}=\underline{111}^{0}$ |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 (b) |  | Expe | ure (\$m) | Ang | ( ${ }^{(0)}$ | 5 |
|  |  | 1991 | 1992 | 1991 | 1992 |  |
|  | Education | 150 | $\mathrm{x}=180$ | 111.1 | 108 |  |
|  | Emergency services | 121.5 | $\mathrm{y}=150$ | 90 | 90 |  |
|  | Roads | 83 | 98.5 | 61.5 | 59.1 |  |
|  | Social services | 72.5 | 92 | 53.7 | 55.24 |  |
|  | Other services | 59 | 79.5 | 43.7 | 47.7 |  |
|  | TOTAL | 486 | $\mathrm{z}=600$ | 360 | 360 |  |
|  | TOTAL EXPENDITURE | 486 | $\mathrm{z}=600$ |  |  |  |
|  | Area ratio | 1 | 1.23 |  |  |  |
|  | Radius ratio | 1 | 1.1 |  |  |  |
|  | Radius (cm) | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |
|  | $\underline{x}=\$ 180000000 \quad \underline{y}=\$ 150000000 \quad \underline{z}=\$ 600000000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 (c) | Radius for 1992=10 cm (Use calculations in bottom shaded area of table in 4(b) |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 4 (d) | For calculation of angles see last two shaded columns of 4(b). <br> CITY EXPENDITURE 1992 <br> CITY EXPENDITURE 1991  <br> Radius $=9 \mathrm{~cm}$  <br> Radius $=10 \mathrm{~cm}$ |  |  |  |  | 10 |


| Question | Marking Points | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 (a) | (i) <br> Histogram of Athletes' best running times in a 1500 m race <br> (i) Modal class centre: $\mathbf{= 2 6 2}$ (Explanation: frequency of 9 is higher in a class interval of 5 seconds, compared to other wider class intervals) <br> (ii) Mode (for grouped data) $\begin{align*} M_{o} & =259.5+\frac{-5}{-5+-2} 5 \\ & =259.5+3.57 \\ & =\mathbf{2 6 3 . 0 7} \tag{4} \end{align*}$ | 10 |
| 5 (b) | (b) Pareto principle - when $20 \%$ of one variable accounts for $80 \%$ of the other <br> - e.g. $20 \%$ of people own $80 \%$ of wealth <br> - represented in a Lorenz curve <br> - used to demonstrate distribution pattern of resources | 5 |
| 5 (c) | Arithmetic mean - the average of any variable/ the sum of values divided by their number Geometric mean - average of the rate of increase/change, e.g. year on year | 4 |
| 5 (d) | ```Mean - Adv - calculated using all the values - more stable for further calculations - Disadv- may be inaccurate in a skewed distribution Median - Adv - useful for large no. of objects - Disadv- unreliable Mode - Adv - easy to locate/estimate - Disadv - unreliable, may be multimodal``` | 6 |


| Question | Marking Points | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 (a) | (i) Period(years) - independent - discrete - qualitative Gender $\begin{aligned} & \text {-independent }- \text { discrete }- \text { qualitative } \\ & \text { Mode of study }- \text { independent }- \text { discrete }- \text { qualitative } \\ & \text { Frequency } \\ & \text { - dependent }- \text { discrete } \\ & \text { - quantitative }\end{aligned}$ (ii) Proportion of enrolled females $(1980 / 81)=\frac{800+200}{800+200+850+150}=\frac{1000}{2000}=0.5$ Proportion of enrolled females $(1990 / 91)=\frac{1100+250}{1100+250+925+225}=\frac{1350}{2500}=0.54$ $\therefore \%$ change $=\frac{0.54-0.50}{0.50} \times 100=\underline{\mathbf{8 \%} \text { increase }}$ | 12 |
| 6 (b) | FEMALE $\begin{align*} \text { z-score for males } 164 \mathrm{~cm} \text { tall }=\frac{164-170}{10} & =-0.6 \\ \therefore \text { probability of males taller than } 164 \mathrm{~cm} & =1-0.2743 \text { (from tables) } \\ & =\underline{\mathbf{0 . 7 2 5 7}}  \tag{4}\\ \text { z-score for females } 164 \mathrm{~cm} \text { tall }=\frac{164-160}{8} & =0.5 \\ \therefore \text { probability of females taller than } 164 \mathrm{~cm} & =1-0.6915 \text { (from tables) } \\ & =\underline{\mathbf{0 . 3 0 8 5}} \tag{4} \end{align*}$ <br> $\therefore$ Probability of a randomly selected couple both taller than 164 cm $\begin{aligned} & =0.7257 \times 0.3085 \\ & =\mathbf{0 . 2 2 3 9} \end{aligned}$ | 13 |

